

The tensorial conservation law in general relativity

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1984 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17 619

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/17/3/023>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 07:55

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

The tensorial conservation law in general relativity

Min-Guang Zhao

Department of Physics, Sichuan Teachers' College, Chengdu 610066, PR China

Received 22 November 1982, in final form 29 June 1983

Abstract. A general tensorial conservation law is formulated by starting from the invariance of the gravitational Lagrangian density by Rosen. Utilising this new formula, the author derives some reasonable results for the mass–energy distribution which are in accordance with the Newtonian formulae.

1. Formulation of the problem

The formulation of the energy–momentum conservation law proposed by Einstein (1916a, b) for the gravitational theory leads to results for the total energy of the closed system which are physically unacceptable, unless quasi-Galilean systems are employed. The coordinate-dependent consequence of this conservation law led to some early controversy in the literature. Nevertheless the energy–momentum law originally formulated by Einstein was generally accepted.

The purpose of this paper is to propose another expression of the conservation law by starting from the invariance of the gravitational Lagrangian density by Rosen (1940, 1963), which is invariant with respect to the arbitrary space–time transformations. We shall investigate some particular applications of this new scheme in §§ 3, 4.

2. The derivation of the general tensorial conservation

Following Rosen (1940, 1963), the Lagrangian density of the gravitational field is of the form

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = (\sqrt{-g}/16\pi)g^{\mu\rho}(\Delta_{\mu\rho}^{\nu\sigma}\Delta_{\nu\sigma}^{\mu\rho} - \Delta_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\rho}\Delta_{\rho\sigma}^{\mu\nu}) \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$\Delta_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\mu} - \bar{\Gamma}_{\nu\rho}^{\mu}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\nu}$ denotes Christoffel symbols of the actual Riemann space–time, and $\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\rho}^{\nu}$ denotes Christoffel symbols of the background space–time.

Since the Lagrangian density is a scalar density, we have

$$\delta\tilde{\mathcal{L}} + (\partial/\partial\chi^{\alpha})(\xi^{\alpha}\varepsilon\tilde{\mathcal{L}}) = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

under the infinitesimal transformations of

$$\begin{aligned}
 \chi^\mu &= \chi^\mu + \varepsilon_{(n)} \xi^\mu_{(n)}, & n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N, \\
 \delta' g^{\mu\nu} &= g^{\nu\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \varepsilon \xi^\mu + g^{\mu\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \varepsilon \xi^\nu - \varepsilon \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} g^{\mu\nu}, \\
 \delta' \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} &= \bar{g}^{\nu\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \varepsilon \xi^\mu + \bar{g}^{\mu\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \varepsilon \xi^\nu - \varepsilon \xi^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\alpha} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

By using the general formula in Moller (1959), from (2.3)–(2.4), we have

$$\Theta_\mu^\nu \xi_{(n)}^\mu + U_\mu^{\nu\rho} (\partial/\partial \chi^\rho) \xi_{(n)}^\mu = 0
 \tag{2.5}$$

with

$$\Theta_\mu^\nu = (\partial/\partial \chi^\rho) U_\mu^{\nu\rho}
 \tag{2.5a}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} &= \frac{1}{2!} [(\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial g_{,\rho}^{\mu\sigma}) g^{\nu\sigma} - (\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial g_{,\nu}^{\mu\sigma}) g^{\rho\sigma}] = -\tilde{U}_\mu^{\rho\nu}, \\
 \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} &= \frac{1}{2!} [(\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial \bar{g}_{,\rho}^{\mu\sigma}) g^{\nu\sigma} - (\partial \hat{\mathcal{L}}/\partial \bar{g}_{,\nu}^{\mu\sigma}) \bar{g}^{\rho\sigma}] = -\tilde{U}_\mu^{\rho\nu}, \\
 U_\mu^{\nu\rho} &= \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} + \tilde{U}_\mu^{\rho\nu}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5b}$$

Since $(\partial/\partial \chi^\rho)(\partial/\partial \chi^\nu)(\xi^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho}) \equiv 0$ (see Belinfante 1955, Belinfante and Carrison 1962), we obtain from (2.5)–(2.6)

$$\bar{\nabla}_\nu \tilde{\Theta}_{(n)}^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\nu} \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \tilde{\Theta}_{(n)}^\nu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\bar{g}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\rho} (\xi_{(n)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho}) = 0 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)
 \tag{2.6}$$

(the differential conservation law) with

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{(n)}^\nu = \bar{\nabla}_\rho [1 - (1/\sqrt{-\bar{g}}) \xi_{(n)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho}],
 \tag{2.7}$$

$$\tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} = (\sqrt{-\bar{g}}/16\pi) \tilde{g}_{\mu\lambda} \bar{\nabla}_\beta \mathcal{T}^{\rho\nu\beta\lambda},
 \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{T}^{\rho\nu\beta\lambda} &= \tilde{g}^{\rho\beta} \tilde{g}^{\lambda\nu} - \tilde{g}^{\rho\lambda} \tilde{g}^{\beta\nu}, \\
 \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} &= (g/\bar{g})^{1/2} g^{\mu\nu}, & \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} &= (\bar{g}/g)^{1/2} g_{\mu\nu}, \\
 g &= \det(g_{\mu\nu}), & \bar{g} &= \det(\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.9}$$

where $\bar{\nabla}_\beta$ and ∇_β denote the covariant derivatives based on Christoffel symbols of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and $g_{\mu\nu}$, respectively.

By applying the Gauss–Stokes theorem to a region σ on a hypersurface Σ , from (2.6)–(2.7), we get

$$I(\xi_{(n)}) = \int_\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \chi^\rho} \xi_{(n)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} d\sigma_\nu = \oint_S \xi_{(n)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho} dS_{\nu\rho}
 \tag{2.10}$$

(the integral conservation law). This expression is invariant with respect to arbitrary space–time transformations.

3. 'Translation-rotation' group in the generalised harmonic frame of reference

In order to derive the actual conservation laws of the four-momentum and the four-angular momentum from the general expressions (2.6)–(2.9), let us consider the 'translation-rotation' group.

Theorem. The infinitesimal 'translation-rotation' group is uniquely defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(n)}^\mu &= \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} C_{(n)\lambda}, & n &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10, \\ \xi_{(n)\mu} &= g_{\mu\lambda} \xi_{(n)}^\lambda = g_{\mu\lambda} \bar{g}^{\lambda\rho} C_{(n)\rho}, \\ \bar{\nabla}_\mu C_{(n)\lambda} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda C_{(n)\mu} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

in the generalised harmonic frames of references, in which the Rosen-Fock conditions (Rosen 1940, 1963) $\bar{\nabla}_\nu \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} = 0$ are satisfied.

Proof. Let (x, y, z, t) and (x', y', z', t') denote harmonically rectangular coordinates and $'x^\mu = x^\mu + \varepsilon_{(n)} \xi_{(n)}^\mu$; then we have (Belinfante 1955, Belinfante and Carrison 1982)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{(n)} \xi_{(n)}^\mu &= C^\mu + w_\lambda^\mu \chi^\lambda = \eta^{\mu\nu} C_\nu + \eta^{\mu\nu} w_{\nu\lambda} \chi^\lambda, \\ C_\nu &= \text{constant}, & w_{\nu\lambda} &= -w_{\lambda\nu} = \text{constant}, \\ \eta^{\mu\lambda} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(n)}^\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} C_{(n)\nu}, \\ \partial C_{(n)\lambda} / \partial \chi^\mu + \partial C_{(n)\mu} / \partial \chi^\lambda &= 0, & n &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From the covariant condition, we find from (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(n)}^\mu &= \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} C_{(n)\nu}, \\ \bar{\nabla}_\mu C_{(n)\lambda} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda C_{(n)\mu} &= 0, & n &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From the theorem above, the conservation laws of four-momentum and four-angular momentum are of the forms

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_\nu \Theta_{(n)}^\nu &= 0, \\ \Theta_{(n)}^\nu &= \bar{\nabla}_\rho ((1/\sqrt{-\bar{g}}) \xi_{(n)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$I_{(n)} = (16\pi)^{-1} \oint_S \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \xi_{(n)}^\mu \bar{g}_{\mu\lambda} \bar{\nabla}_\beta \mathcal{T}^{\rho\nu\beta\lambda} dS_{\nu\rho},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(n)}^\mu &= \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} C_{(n)\lambda}, & n &= 1, 2, 3, \dots, 10, \\ \xi_{(n)\mu} &= g_{\mu\lambda} \xi_{(n)}^\lambda, \\ \bar{\nabla}_\mu C_{(n)\lambda} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda C_{(n)\mu} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

4. The energy of the spherical bodies

In the harmonically spherical coordinate frame, we have

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -(r+m)/(r-m) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -(r+m)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(r+m)^2 \sin^2 \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (r-m)/(r+m) \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

and the corresponding flat metric is

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -r^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 \sin^2 \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

Inserting (4.1)-(4.2) into the flat Killing equation (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{(0)\mu} &= (0, 0, 0, 1) \\ \xi_{(0)}^\mu &= \bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} C_{(0)\lambda} = (0, 0, 0, 1) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

('time-translation')

and inserting (4.3) into (3.5) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{(0)}^\nu &= \bar{\nabla}_\rho ((1/\sqrt{-\bar{g}}) \xi_{(0)}^\mu \tilde{U}_\mu^{\nu\rho}) = \bar{\nabla}_\rho ((1/\sqrt{-\bar{g}}) \tilde{U}_0^{\nu\rho}) \\ &= (1/\sqrt{-\bar{g}}) (\partial/\partial x^\rho) \tilde{U}_0^{\nu\rho} \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

(four-flux of the energy),

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &= \oint_{r,t=c} \xi_{(0)}^0 \tilde{U}_0^{\nu\rho} dS_{\nu\rho} \\ &= \oint_{r,t=c} \tilde{U}_0^{01} d\theta d\phi \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

(energy contained in a sphere).

Furthermore, inserting (4.1)-(4.2) into (2.8), we get

$$\tilde{U}_0^{01} = (4\pi)^{-1} (m - m^2/2r) \sin \theta, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\tilde{U}_0^{0\rho} = 0 \quad (\rho \neq 1), \quad \tilde{U}_0^{\kappa\rho} = 0 \quad (\kappa = 1, 2, 3). \tag{4.7}$$

Thus, from (4.4)-(4.7), we have

$$\Theta_{(0)}^0 \text{ (energy density)} = m^2/8\pi r^4 > 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\Theta_0^\kappa \text{ (flux of energy)} = 0,$$

$$E_r = m - m^2/2r,$$

$$E_g = \lim_{r \rightarrow m} E_r = m/2, \tag{4.9}$$

$$E \text{ (total energy)} = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} E_r = m.$$

From (4.9) we see that:

(a) The energy density is positive definite.

(b) The gravitational 'self-energy' is $E_s = -m^2/2r$ which is in accordance with the 'Newtonian formula'.

(c) The energy–mass relation obtained is in accordance with the empirical formula (cf table 1).

Table 1. The comparison of the present scheme with others.

Author	Energy computed from the harmonically spherical coordinates frame
Einstein (1916a, b)	$E_r = m - r, E_g = 0, E_s = -r, E = -\infty$
Landau and Lifshitz (1951)	$E_r = -\frac{1}{4}\pi(r + m)^3, E_g = -2\pi m^3, E = -\infty$
Infeld (1960)	$E_r = ((r + m)/(r - m))^2(m - \frac{1}{2}r), E_g = \infty, E = -\infty$
Moller (1959)	$E_r = E_g = E = m, E_s = 0$
Cornish (1965)	$E_r = (m - m^2/2r)[(r + m)/(r - m)](1 + m/r)^2, E_g = \infty,$ $E = m$
Author of this paper	$E_r = m - m^2/2r, E_g = \frac{1}{2}m, E_s = -m^2/2r, E = m$
Empirical formula	$E_g \neq \infty, E_s \neq 0, \infty, E = m$

References

Belinfante F 1955 *Phys. Rev.* **98** 973
 Belinfante F and Carrson T 1962 *Phys. Rev.* **125** 1124
 Cornish F 1965 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **286** 270.
 Einstein A 1916a *Berlin Ber.* **42** 1111
 — 1916b *Ann. Phys.* **49** 769
 — 1918 *Z. Phys.* **19** 115 165
 Infeld L 1960 *Motion and Relativity* (Oxford: Pergamon)
 Landau L and Lifshitz E 1951 *Classical Field Theory* (Moscow: National Press Company of Math. Phys.)
 Moller C 1959 *Kgl. Danske. Vid. Selsk. Mat.-fys. Med.* **31** N4
 Rosen N 1940 *Phys. Rev.* **57** 47
 — 1963 *Ann. Phys.* **22** 1